


# RedefiningGod.com

A Resource for the Awakening Human

## The Rockefeller Plan for the BRICS New World Order, in their own words...

Decades before President George H.W. Bush introduced the “New World Order” (NWO) into the American vernacular, the Rockefeller family and their minions were making plans to expend America’s post-World War 2 power to bring about such an order. In the course of researching Laurance Rockefeller for my last entry, [Why are the Rockefellers and the Jesuits guiding the UFO Disclosure Movement?](#), I came across a book titled *Prospect for America: The Rockefeller Panel Reports...*



...It was the product of a 1956 Rockefeller Brothers Fund effort known as the [Special Studies Project](#), and it lays out the blueprint for the multilateral / multipolar New World Order that is currently materializing around us.

In the aftermath of World War 2 and the resulting formation of the United Nations (which the Rockefellers were instrumental in creating and funding), the Special Studies Project, directed by [Henry Kissinger](#), was formed to carry out two apparent tasks:

**1) to create an action plan to bring about the “elite’s” long-sought world government within the existing postwar environment, and**

**2) to spin the agenda in such a way that it could be sold to the American people as being in their best interest.**

Upon completion of their work, the Special Studies Project’s various panels compiled their reports in an incomplete, sanitized, and heavily spun book, the above-mentioned *Prospect for America*. The book spells out how to sacrifice the national interests of the United States for...

when it speaks of the opportunity before America of helping to shape a new world order. The phrase could easily be misunder-

...(from page 35)

To begin our journey through the Rockefeller plan for the NWO, let’s start with their definition of the Old World Order (OWO).

### **The 13 Empires of the Old World Order**

From pages 163-164 of *Prospect for America...*



## II. The Nature of the Problem

[for which the New World Order will be the solution]

A sound United States foreign economic policy must be based on accurate assessment of the world in which it must operate.

### DISINTEGRATION OF THE NINETEENTH-CENTURY POLITICAL SYSTEM

The central political fact of our time is the disintegration of the international system that dominated world affairs almost until the outbreak of World War II. Until the turn of the century, thirteen empires ruled the world. The neutrality of the Western Hemisphere—as of many regions today—depended on the existence of an equilibrium maintained by other states. This balance of power saw to it that most transformations were relatively minor; they did not threaten or seem to threaten the survival of the states composing the international system.

The nineteenth-century international system, for all its failings, provided order, rationalized administration, and arrangements for economic exchange. It supplied a form of answer to the increasing interdependence of societies. Europe was the world's principal manufacturer and the principal user of raw materials. She was the world's primary banker and source of capital. People, goods, and money moved with relative freedom from country to country; and Europe's banks financed the exchange of goods. The gold standard maintained by a central banking policy of the principal powers made for a kind of common currency.

The internal stresses of the imperial system began to be apparent in the nineteenth century and became conspicuous in 1914. World War I led to the collapse of the German, Austrian, Turkish, and Russian empires. By 1955, most of the remaining



imperialist systems had dissolved. The nineteenth-century system of empires, as a means of maintaining world order, arranging world economics, and settling international disputes, has collapsed.

So after having consolidated power over most of the world through empire building, the Occulted Powers (OPs) faced a problem. Empires, by their very nature, are territorial, competitive and nationalistic, and are not amenable to submitting to outside control as would be required for world governance. Clearly, these old structures would need to be demolished and replaced by newer, more malleable structures in order to finish the global consolidation. For this reason, the OPs fomented and financed the two world wars to break down the old empires and create international chaos from which a New Order could be birthed.

At the end of each world war, the OPs immediately planted the seed of global governance, but the seeds didn't germinate as expected...

Twice within a generation the United States has been instrumental in bringing a world organization into being: the League of Nations in 1919 (though we refused to join) and the United Nations in 1945. In both instances the impetus was the belief that the interdependence of nations, demonstrated in two catastrophic wars, had to find an institutional expression. In each case high hopes were not fully realized because the formal institutions of the world organizations were designed to achieve more than the consensus of existing shared aspirations was prepared to support. The emergence of revolutionary dictatorships in

...(from page 164)

De-spinning the last underlined statement, they are basically saying that the nations were insufficiently motivated to hand over sovereignty to the globalist institutions. So to overcome this lack of "shared aspirations," the OPs fostered the Cold War between the United States and the Soviet Union.

By threatening some nations with Soviet aggression and others with American aggression, they compelled the nations to band together and join sides for protection. The members of each side were then pressured to increase economic and defensive cooperation to fend off the menace of the other side. Those who were programmed to believe they lived in the "people's (communist) world" cooperated to block aggression from the "imperialist world," and those who were programmed to believe they lived in the "free world" cooperated to block aggression from the "Soviet sphere"...



## Conclusion

While the Soviet threat in the field of foreign economic policy is potentially formidable, it must be seen in its proper perspective. It is menacing to the degree that the cohesion of the free world is inadequate.

The selective economic program of the Soviet Union is politically effective primarily to the extent that weak countries must face the strong Soviet economy alone. If these countries are part of larger groupings, they will be able to resist pressure more easily and negotiate on a more nearly equal basis.

The Soviet effort is impressive primarily because the free world has failed to develop a workable structure within which the industrialized and newly developing regions can co-operate in fulfilling the aspirations of their peoples. We must contribute to the growth and cohesiveness of the community of free nations, not merely because we wish to prevent an expansion of the Soviet sphere, but because we want to co-operate in bringing about a new international system dedicated to peace, to human dignity, and to respect for national independence.

...(from page 180)

In the United States, people were programmed to believe that fending off the "Red Menace" was a matter of life and death...

transcend national boundaries. Our participation in world economic and social progress is important from the point of view of our own economy and that of the free world. It is crucial from the point of view of our survival and the survival of freedom everywhere. Such participation is imposed by our deepest beliefs.

...(from page 162)

But the Cold War, just like the current crop of engineered conflicts raging about us (ISIS, Ukraine, and the China Seas), was all about forcing nations into groups and groups into a world order. Once it had served its purpose, the Cold War was ended in order to allow the two sides to be merged under the NWO...



# After the Summit; Gorbachev Urges Major Changes In the World's System of Alliances

By R. W. APPLE Jr., Special to The New York Times

Published: June 5, 1990

...from [The New York Times](#). Here are some key excerpts...

>>> President Mikhail S. Gorbachev of the Soviet Union ended an exhausting transcontinental visit to the United States today with an appeal for a radically changed system of global alliances...

Rather than strengthening defense, he asserted, alliances of the future should be designed to foster an international unity that would "protect the environment, combat hunger, diseases, drug addiction and ignorance"...

The Soviet leader said his second summit conference with Mr. Bush had helped move the United States and the Soviet Union "toward a new world"...

"For a new type of progress throughout the world to become a reality, everyone must change," Mr. Gorbachev added. "Tolerance is the alpha and omega of a **new world order**." <<<

And as one would expect, *Prospect for America* offers a glimpse of what Gorbachev and Bush's New World Order will look like.

## The New World Order

On page 26, we're told what the OPs and their globalist minions are hoping for...

The hoped-for result is peace in a world divided into smaller units, but organized and acting in common effort to permit and assist progress in economic, political, cultural, and spiritual life. Such a community must facilitate the freest and fullest access by everyone to the thinking of everyone. It must allow for the widest diversity of ideas, social structure, and forms of expression compatible with the functioning of the community. It would presumably consist of regional institutions under an international body of growing authority—combined so as to be able to deal with those problems that increasingly the separate nations will not be able to solve alone.

Take note of how the passage says *"combined so as to be able to deal with those problems that increasingly the separate nations will not be able to solve alone."* Here they are telling us that they'll be purposefully creating increasingly difficult problems to compel the nations to accept the NWO. We've certainly been witnessing that, haven't we?

As for the NWO structure itself, we're told that it will *"consist of regional institutions under an international body of growing [to the point of total control] authority."* Elsewhere in the book, we're told that the NWO will also include functional structures for matters that require management beyond the regional boundaries. So let's delve deeper into how such a structure would look and operate:

**1) At the top is the "international body of growing authority." Needless to say, this is...**

## THE UNITED NATIONS

In addition to participating directly in the development of two regional groups, the United States has participated fully and from the start in the United Nations, the international organization that today holds out the reasonable hope of being able to take over more and more functions and to assume increasingly large responsibilities. In supporting the spirit and letter of the Charter, the United States has shown that it gives more than lip service to the indispensable world order that, as we have seen, is basic to the American consensus. The UN is proof of our conviction that problems which are of world-wide impact must be dealt with through institutions global in their scope. It should stand as one

...(from page 33) Also note this...

The UN stands, finally, as a symbol of the world order that will one day be built. The United States has need of symbols

...(from page 35)



## 2) Below the UN will be the...

### REGIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

Policies to promote the vitality of our economy are only the beginning of our task. They must be taken concurrently with measures which make the interdependence of nations a source of mutual strength. It is impossible for the United States to deal creatively with 80 sovereign nations solely on a bilateral basis. The most natural multinational arrangements are frequently regional. In many parts of the world, geography combines with common history to provide the basis for common objectives and fruitful co-operative efforts.


...(from page 189)

Here are the ten UN development regions...

### United Nations Millennium Development Goals Report 2009

[http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/MDG\\_Report\\_2009\\_ENG.pdf](http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/MDG_Report_2009_ENG.pdf)

#### 10 Regional Groupings





And page 190 spells out how the regional arrangements will manifest themselves...

It is not possible to describe the precise form regional arrangements should take in all parts of the globe. They may involve joint efforts to achieve economic development, common markets, and free trade areas or functional arrangements like the European Coal and Steel Community. Fully developed, they imply a joint accord on monetary and exchange arrangements, a common discipline on fiscal matters, and a free movement of capital and labor. We believe that this regional approach has world-wide validity. Because the particular adaptation will be different for each region, the structure will have to be worked out by each region through consultation. We feel the United States should encourage the development of such regional structures in all areas of the free world. We have tried to develop the outline for Western Hemisphere unity in Section V as an illustrative case.

Free trade agreements, joint economic development institutions, exchange agreements — this all sounds very familiar, doesn't it? So when an East versus West propagandist tries to sell you the idea that the BRICS Development Bank or a Chinese/Russian currency swap deal demonstrates nations trying to free themselves from the New World Order, just remember this passage. Russia and China are following the NWO script to the letter, but I'll get back to this point a little later in the article.

### 3) Below the UN will also be...

## FUNCTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

Regional arrangements by themselves cannot supply the entire structure of a free world economy. Some problems and opportunities cut across regional lines; other should be dealt with on a world-wide basis. Three of the most important functional challenges—the problem of primary commodity products, the new vistas of science, and the role of international financial institutions—will be discussed here.

...(from page 191)

One example of a functional arrangement is the BRICS alliance. It cuts across regional lines and gives form to the dialectic clash between Anglo-American bankers and BRICS bankers which will birth the NWO. Another ex-



ample is the International Monetary Fund, which will manage the new global reserve currency after the engineered East versus West drama has climaxed.

With the planned structure of the New World Order laid before us, let's have a look at how the Rockefellers planned to get America to join in.

### **The NWO as America's Grand Objective**

*Prospect for America* goes to great lengths to make the counterintuitive case that building a global government is in America's best interest. It starts by implying that nation-states are somehow outmoded by the fact that they trade with each other, and then it offers the false choice of floundering by engaging in no trade at all...

## **DECLINE OF THE NATION-STATE**

The nation-state as it was conceived in the sixteenth century and as it has existed throughout the modern age is now transforming itself. In this report it has been necessary to talk in terms of nations as if they were still the solid entities, watertight and sovereign, that traditional theory describes. In fact, they have not been that for a long time. The growth of the modern world has seen the interdependency of nations and communities increase to a point where independence, at least in the sense of self-sufficiency, is seriously eroded. If the United States were to be confronted with the necessity of existing apart from the trade of the world, apart from its intellectual and spiritual currents and the support of allied and kindred nations, it would quickly realize that independence can be pushed too far.

...(from page 60) or prospering by engaging in trade managed by the NWO...

The challenge, then, is to build a new structure that will make possible the fulfillment of the basic purposes of humanity. The well-being and the prosperity of every nation will depend to an increasing degree on its participation in a complex of nations designed to satisfy awakening human aspirations. No country—not even the United States—can meet the expectations of its people or continue to grow merely by developing and using its own resources alone. The free world must devise the institutions for a

...(from page 161) They conveniently fail to mention that there are many other options besides resorting to either of those two extremes.

Kissinger and Co. also make the assertion that building the NWO is the "grand objective" of America's foreign policy...

Such, in broadest outline, is the image of the world whose building the United States sees as the grand objective of its foreign policy. It is not only by desire that the United States seeks such a world but by necessity. It is not only by the old standards of "national interest" but because the United States cannot hope to become its fullest self except within an environment where new needs are met by new institutions.

...(from page 26) and that the effectiveness of US policy will be judged by how well America fosters the globalist institutions...



### III. The Growth of Community

The image of an ideal world, sketched in the foregoing pages, is not drawn out of thin air. It has already begun to take shape. The British Commonwealth of Nations forms one of the earliest and most significant of modern groupings; the French Community forms one of the most recent. In two areas in particular we see regional associations vitally related to American policy: these are the Western Hemisphere and the Atlantic community. At the same time, the United Nations provides a vigorous example of a growing institution at the world level. The effectiveness of American policy will be judged in large part by the degree to which it succeeds in helping to keep these existing associations—regional and universal—in sound health and on the path of progress.

There are other areas, such as Africa and Asia, where regional life is taking on new forms. But in these the United States does not have the same degree of responsibility as it does in the American and Atlantic communities. Here the United States is able to exert direct influence; its leadership and active help form important ingredients in the developing situations. Results in these areas will demonstrate to the world what as a people we value and are really seeking.

...(from page 27) Also note how the passage assigns the US to the Western Sphere. The planners had another nation in mind to lead the Eastern Sphere (China).

The book then goes on to offer specific ways America can sacrifice its national interests to build the global government.

#### **The Deindustrialization of America**



On page 173, we see the genesis of the economic policies that have brought the American economy to ruin...

A great deal depends therefore on developing an international structure which reflects the interdependence of countries, large and small, industrialized and less developed. Europe and Japan, together with the United States, supply most of the machinery and equipment and capital investment required by the less developed countries for their industrialization. The less developed countries in turn supply an increasing percentage of the raw materials and commodities required by the industrialized nations. It is necessary to establish a structure that gives effect to this partnership. An essential component will be an increasingly liberal United States trade policy, which will permit other nations to sell in American markets.

...as the globalists make the case for unilaterally removing the trade barriers that protected America's economy from competition with foreign slave labor.

Kissinger and Co. also make the case that we shouldn't economically defend ourselves since it might create "impediments... to the progress of others"...



The recent tendency for American exports to decline in relation to imports and the significant symptom of the drain on United States gold indicate how the world economic system is changing. The United States will need to make adjustments to such a developing situation; the important thing is that these adjustments be made in a way that does not thwart economic growth elsewhere in the world. The degree of our support of defense establishments in other countries can well be re-examined now that many of these countries have achieved a substantial economic revival. But the main answer to such a symptom as the drain on gold is not in policies of withdrawal or protectionism; it is in maintaining efficient standards of production and enlarging our rate of growth.

The long-range interest of the United States is in economic growth throughout the world. It wants the continuing prosperity of its allies in the advanced Western countries; more than that, it wants the other areas of the world to develop both agriculturally and industrially to their fullest potentiality. Measures taken by the United States in response to domestic economic situations must be looked at carefully in the light of such impediments as they may create to the progress of others.

...(from page 75)



And to give Americans a taste of what's coming, page 75 also drops this little hint...

The United States, in short, is challenged so to conduct itself as to bridge over in every possible way the potential cleavage between East and West. It is deeply concerned that East and West not draw apart through lack of understanding or through failure to see clearly the underlying interests that are held in common by the two great sectors of the globe. The way we order our life at home is an important factor in weaving the broad unity; so are our specific relations with individual countries. We can hope for bonds of friendship and ties of interest with the East; we must not let emotion or differences of ideology close the door to such possibilities of better relations with the Chinese people as may arise in years to come. Standing for freedom and for the essential dignity of man, the United States cannot see itself cut off from any continent or country.

...As it turns out, America did indeed lay itself down as a bridge to pull China into the NWO. We did it by luring them in with a great deal of our national wealth through lopsided trade and outsourcing.

And this brings us to the subject of the BRICS...

### **The BRICS role in erecting the New World Order**

*Prospect* goes on to address the status of those nations that were "freed" by the engineered collapse of the Old World Order...



Twenty new sovereign nations have come into being since the end of World War II. More will undoubtedly emerge in the next decade. While fulfilling their aspirations to national sovereignty, these nations face new problems. At a moment when the pressures of the contemporary period impel greater and greater interdependence, the newly independent nations are driven or tempted to erect self-sufficient economies, which tend to restrict the broad markets essential for industrialization. One system of organizing the international order has been destroyed without replacement by another. The diverging forces of nationalism must be balanced by converging forces seeking to bring about a free association of nations so that political, social, and economic co-operation can transcend national boundaries. Simón Bolívar, the great Latin American liberator, expressed this principle of interdependence of free nations more than a century ago. He saw that as the young republics of the Western Hemisphere achieved their independence, some new system of free association would be needed.

...(from page 164)

If we remove the spin from this passage, it tells us that the new nation-states' drive to achieve true independence must be "balanced by converging forces." And what form did these "converging forces" take? The globalists employed hot & cold wars and insurgencies, trade conflicts, and "economic hit men" to make life unbearable for any nation-state attempting to be independent. All this strife forced such nations to reach out to international institutions for "help" (which came in the form of military occupation or debt bondage).



Only in the arms of the globalist institutions would such nations find any peace...

of them simply would not possess in any circumstances the prerequisites of self-sufficient nationhood. They certainly do not possess them in a day when even the most firmly established states find many insufficiencies within their own borders and a constant necessity to enlarge the definition of their interests. In a deep way, emerging states seem to recognize the inadequacy of their avowed goal. Even while they affirm their nationalism they grope toward those larger groupings in which alone they can hope to find their needs met.

The new nationalism may thus be a halfway house. It may provide a point in the line of the rapidly evolving development of the former colonial peoples. But it is not a resting place where men can hope to build viable communities for themselves and for their children.

...(from page 61)

When *Prospect* spoke on page 60 of what animates such peoples...

The modification of the nation-state has been confused by the fact that the aspirations of peoples all over the globe are today finding expression in the slogan of nationalism. What animates these peoples is a resolve to emerge rapidly and conclusively from the era of colonialism. They are going somewhere, but it is a real question whether they are going where they think they are. Many

...it brought to mind something I wrote in an old article titled [David Wilcock and the Real NWO, Part 2 of 2](#):

**>>>Why China? Why would the Illuminati, whose home base is in Western Europe, have the Chinese front the public face of their New World Order?**

A clue to their motivations and strategy can be found in this article, titled "[Colonial Elite Rules China for the Illuminati.](#)" In it, the author states...



*"The Chinese people would revolt against overt foreign domination, but embrace their place in the NWO if they believed they were in control."*

***The Chinese have suffered greatly at the hands of Western imperialism, as has much of the world. As a result, the Illuminati would have trouble roping many nations into a Western-led New World Order, especially the nationalistic Chinese. Since you can't have a truly global order without the most populous nation on Earth, the Illuminati opted to use their own legacy of destruction to their advantage. Their two-part strategy to do so is as follows:***


***1 – They set up a China-centered alliance as an opposing force to the Western alliance.***

*This part of the strategy was hinted at in the mainstream press in this 2002 UPI article, titled "[China Wants Its Own 'New World Order' To Oppose US Version](#)." It is common practice for the Cabal to use opposing forces to achieve their ends, and they always make sure they have influence or control over both sides.*

***2 – They have been driving the world public into the hands of the Chinese alliance.***

*How have they approached this, you ask?*

*> They have widely publicized a heinous New World Order planned by the Western Powers...*



*...while simultaneously publicizing a benign New World Order planned by China and its allies...*

## WHY THE UNITED STATES MUST JOIN THE BRICS

### A NEW INTERNATIONAL ORDER FOR MANKIND



a LaRouchePAC digital report

*...thus establishing danger from one side and safety from another.*

*> They have instigated outrageous and provocative action, both economic and military, by the Western powers (this adds a new insight into the open-for-all-to-see Wall Street / City of London criminality and recent Western military boondoggles in Afghanistan, Iraq, Egypt, Libya, and Syria).*

*> They have broadly exposed damaging information about Western nations, especially the US. This is the motivation behind WikiLeaks, Snowden, and a thousand smaller disclosures. And after Snowden outed the NSA's activities to the general public, where did he run to hide? First to China (Hong Kong), then to Russia. So what is the psychological message? China and Russia (the BRICS alliance) are where you run for safety from the evil US, its Western allies, and all their horrible behavior.*

***It is really very simple: the Illuminati built a rabbit trap (in the BRICS alliance) that looks like a nice, safe hole to hide from danger. Now they are beating the bushes (with the Western powers) to drive the rabbits toward the trap.<<<***

Clearly, the globalists took into account "the aspirations of peoples all over the globe... to emerge rapidly and conclusively from the era of colonialism" in their planning of the NWO. It is for this reason that the BRICS alliance was formed and the NWO was designed in a multipolar fashion. They want the oppressed peoples of the world to join together and see themselves beating the West. And they want them to believe that the Illuminati's multipolar New World Order represents their final victory over oppression and ascension to equality, when it is actually just the beginning of a new phase of subjugation and their fall into equality as global serfs.



With the Rockefeller plan for the BRICS New World Order laid out before us, let's take a look at how it was implemented.

### **China: Building up the False Savior**

Major moves towards building the New World Order outlined in *Prospect for America* began in the late sixties...

**1969 – The International Monetary Fund (IMF) introduces the Special Drawing Right, [the planned replacement for the US dollar as the global reserve currency](#)...**



**July 1971 – former Special Studies Project director Henry Kissinger held secret meetings in China to lay the groundwork for their introduction onto the global stage.** Here he is with Chinese Premier Chou En-Lai

(a.k.a. Zhou Enlai) during the visit...



**August 1971 – President Richard Nixon takes America off of the gold standard...**



...This was the first major explosion in the dollar's demolition as the global reserve currency (to make way for its replacement by the globalists' SDR sometime around 2018).



February 1972 – Nixon visits (and “opens”) China. Here he is with Chairman Mao...



June 1973 – [David Rockefeller Sr. visits China](#) to start working out implementation details for what is to come. Here he is meeting with Premier Chou En-Lai...



So what was set in motion by these events? By 1978, [Deng Xiaoping](#) took power and “developed ‘Socialism with Chinese characteristics’ and Chinese economic reform, also known as the ‘socialist market economy,’ and **opened China to the global market.**” An interesting view on the hidden dynamics behind this development is offered in the above-linked “Colonial Elite Rules China for the Illuminati” article...

*"Wang Hao, a historian at the China Institute of International Studies, has recorded that Mao's deputy Zhou Enlai met David Rockefeller in June 1973:*

*'When meeting David Rockefeller, Zhou said to him that it was necessary to find appropriate methods conducive to the development of the trade between two sides under different political systems.'*

*The corporate-communist merger began when Deng Xiaoping came to power in the late 1970s and introduced his market reforms with the slogan 'to get rich is glorious.'*


*However, after 30 years of Maoism his regime was hopelessly ill equipped to run a market economy. They turned to the wealthiest Chinese tycoons in Hong Kong for guidance.*

*The most powerful HK tycoon is Li Ka-Shing, the richest Asian in the world and an Illuminati insider."*

(For Fritz Springmeier's writeup on the Illuminati Li family, click [here](#).)

*This helped put in place a Chinese elite that "is a merger between the Communist leadership, Hong Kong tycoons, and the criminal Triads. All three factions derive their power from Illuminati collaboration."*

Needless to say, globalist coordination with China...



...and their BRICS partner Russia...





...has continued unabated to this day.

In closing, I'd simply say that any global solution offered to us in the coming years is, in fact, a **globalist** solution. If you do not get together with your neighbors to start exercising self-sufficiency and control over your life, you will fall into globalist dependency and control by default. And if you do not get together with those in your local community to devise a currency (or other method of trade/barter) of your own, you will end up using banker currency by default. Power that is not taken into your own hands is left to the predators who grasp for it.

**[Update 1 – 11 November 2014]**

In response to this comment from a suspected webtroll...

*"How do you know that Putin isn't telling Kissinger, 'Buddy, your days are numbered.'"*

...I looked up an old [New York Times article](#) I ran across a while ago...

**The New York Times**

**Europe**

WORLDU.S.N.Y. / REGIONBUSINESSTECHNOLOGYSCIENCEHEALTHSPORTSOPINION

AFRICAAmericasASIA PACIFICEUROPEMIDDLE EAST




**Keep Ebola out of**  
**TELL OBAMA TO PROTECT OUR NURSES AND PREVENT EBOLA**  
**SIGN PE**

## Putin Welcomes Kissinger: ‘Old Friends’ to Talk Shop






By ELLEN BARRY  
Published: January 19, 2012

MOSCOW — Prime Minister [Vladimir V. Putin](#) will meet Friday with former Secretary of State [Henry A. Kissinger](#) to discuss world affairs, including elections in [Russia](#) and the United States, said Mr. Putin’s press secretary, Dmitri S. Peskov.



Mr. Peskov said Mr. Kissinger requested the meeting in late November or early December. The two men are “old friends” who have met 8 or 10 times over the years, once dining at Mr. Kissinger’s home in New York, he said. Mr. Peskov said Mr. Putin was interested in Mr. Kissinger’s counsel about domestic politics, among other subjects.

“He values everyone’s point of view, and especially such a wise man as Henry Kissinger,” Mr. Peskov said.

 TWITTER  
 LINKEDIN  
 PRINT  
 REPRINTS  
 SHARE



So while the front page news has blaring headlines about the supposed conflict between West and East, you’ll find the truth a little deeper in the paper: that all these political types work for the same bosses and are actually buddies behind the scenes. Don’t be distracted by the public puppet theater.

Love always...